**Computerized Dynamic Posturography: Quantitative Evaluation in Patients with Vestibulopathy Treated with Vestibular Rehabilitation**
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**SUMMARY**

Objective: To use Sensory Organization Test (SOT) by Computerized Dynamic Posturography (CDP) as a monitoring method of analysis in patients with vestibular disorders treated with Vestibular Rehabilitation (VR).

Method: A prospective study of 39 patients, aging from 37 to 77 years old, with vestibular disorders submitted to vestibular rehabilitation as treatment modality. Data was acquired by SOT by quantitative analysis before and after Vestibular Rehabilitation.

Results: We observed statistically significant increase in values in condition 5, and in the equilibrium score in SOT. These results showed improvement in postural stability.

Conclusion: This information shows that SOT by CDP is an adequate method to quantitative analysis to show the vestibular function increase in the Vestibular Rehabilitation.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Body balance can be understood as the human ability of being standing straight up and performing movements with no oscillations of falls. This sensory-motor complex is produced by the act of integrating information of the visual, somatosensory and vestibular systems within the central nervous system. Alterations on body balance can cause vertigo, dizziness and unbalance (1-4).

The evaluation of the body balance consists of tests that either determine diagnostic values or inform the ability of the individual in remaining in a static posture. One of these tests is the Computerized Dynamic Posturography (CDP). It evaluates the body oscillation by recording patient’s foot pressure on a platform (forceplate) and it also allows us to analyze the secondary posture reaction towards the central displacement of the body mass (5-7).

The CDP is a method of quantity and quality evaluation. It is performed with a computed system that analyses the participation of the vestibular, sensory, visual and somatosensory information in the body balance in a global and isolated way and also analyses the central integration of these systems (8).

Vestibular Rehabilitation (VR) is one of the therapy choices for body balance disorders of vestibular origin. VR aims to accelerate the process of central compensation and to recover the functional balance (2, 3, 9, 10).

Despite the literature presenting satisfactory results of VR (2, 5), most studies do not use methods to quantify the symptom evolution.

The purpose of this study was to make use of the CDP as a method of quantity monitoring of patients submitted to VR, due to the subjective figures presented up to the present moment.

**METHOD**

The research was based on a prospective study of 39 patients with vestibular disorders and submitted to VR.

This study followed the ethics principles of the Hospital das Clínicas da Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de São Paulo (HCFMUSP) and it was approved by the Ethics Committee (105/03).

Firstly, the participant was introduced to the protocol, when all study purposes and possible questions were explained.
of the body stability in Condition 5, instability situation in the platform, with no visual aid, with variation from zero (fall) to 100% (maximum stability).

- Balance index (BI): value average obtained in different conditions of the test, with more importance in Conditions from 3 to 6.

After having performed the first evaluation set through SOT in the CDP, the VR program was initiated through orientations and exercises based on the Modified Protocol by Cawthorne and Cooksey (3), on the Vestibular Adaptation Exercises and, when necessary, on the Complementary Exercises performed on irregular surfaces, according to patient’s complaints and diagnosis (Table 1).

The VR program usually lasts from two to five months (three months on average). The ambulatory follow-up sessions were performed one week after the surgery, two weeks after the surgery and, after the third visit, once a month. Patients were instructed to perform exercises everyday, and at the end of therapy, they were submitted to a new evaluation through SOT in the CDP.

The analyzed variables refer to the results obtained from Condition 5 in the Balance Index of the SOT by using t-Student test. The significance index (a) of 5% was used.

Further, patients’ symptom evaluation, after accomplishing the VR program, was performed through a visual-analogue scale in order to verify the patient’s clinical response regarding therapy. The scale ranged from 100% to 0%: no symptoms (100%), improvement of symptoms (from 90% to 50%) and no improvement of symptoms (below 50%).

Table 1. Distribution of patients according to VR Protocol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protocol</th>
<th>Nº</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M.C.C.</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>61.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V.A.E.H.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.C.C. + V.A.E.H.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.C.C. + C.E.I.S.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.C.C. + V.A.E.H. + C.E.I.S.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V.A.E.H. + C.E.I.S.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subtitle: M.C.C = Modified by Cawthorne & Cooksey; V.A.E.H = Vestibular Adaptation Exercises by Herdman; C.E.I.S. = Complementary Exercises on Irregular Surface.

Table 2. Distribution of patients regarding evolution of symptoms after VR therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evolution</th>
<th>Nº</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No symptoms</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>38.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial improvement</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>53.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No improvement</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results of 36 (92.31%) patients presented peripheral origin and three of them (7.69%) presented central origin.

Regarding the localization of the disease, 36 (92.31%) patients presented peripheral origin and three of them (7.69%) presented central origin.

Regarding patients’ evolution by the visual-analogue scale, 15 (38.46%) presented no symptoms, 21 (53.85%) presented improvement of symptoms and 3 (7.69%) presented no improvement (Table 2). This is similar to SOT result, since the value average in Condition 5 ranged in statistically significant way from 33.7 to 49.5 (p < 0.05) (p = 1.27226) and the Balance Index from 62.7 to 70.3 (p < 0.05), by showing improvement on posture stability (Pictures 2 and 3).

Pictures 1 and 2 display the values in Condition 5 and the Balance Index, before and after VR therapy.

Discussion

Concerning the etiology of the disease, the peripheral causes of dizziness prevailed in larger number, which agrees with the literature. As peripheral causes of dizziness occur more often in medical routine, there are more studies on its diagnosis and therapy (11).

We observed that 92.31% of patients present satisfactory evolution of symptoms through VR therapy by the visual-analogue scale. We could notice the same result regarding the SOT, as the value average in Condition 5 and Balance Index ranged from 33.7 to 49.5 (p < 0.05) (p = 1.27226) and from 62.7 to 70.3 (p < 0.05) respectively, by showing improvement on posture stability. We are aware that the responses to the visual-analogue scale are subjective, which may cause questions concerning patient’s symptom evolution. On the other hand, the posturography exam, for being an objective test, provides more reliable results. When we compared the two types of evaluations, we noticed that the responses to therapy were similar in both, which reveals that the posturography result agrees with the visual-analogue scale response (2, 8-10, 12).
Besides, the increase on values in Condition 5 of the SOT shows improvement on body stability in situations of instability of the platform with no visual aid. This means an improvement on the vestibular function. It is the best condition to evaluate the vestibular system, as visual and proprioceptive information is reduced (7, 8).

Some studies still report that CDP is a sensitive method that may safely inform the final compensation condition of the balance, thus, even after one of the systems that aid the balance management is injured, patient can make use of other sources of information and keep their proper postures (4, 8, 13-16). According to the literature, CDP can be used to the evaluation, rehabilitation and control of the patient with balance alteration (7).

Our results confirm, in this way, the advantage of the CDP as a method for quantity monitoring of patients with vestibular disorders, before and after VR therapy (6, 17). This aspect provides us resources to perform, through quantity arrangements, an objective evaluation of patients’ evolution after VR therapy. This information helps us as for provided orientations to patients during treatment and as for providing reliable information on their evolution (18).

**Conclusion**

This study helped us to find out that Computerized Dynamic Posturography is a proper exam to quantify the evolution of vestibular function during Vestibular Rehabilitation therapy.
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